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Guideline Development Committee

- **Local evaluators**
  - Christi Bergin (OH), Laura Denton (TN C&F), Mark Innocenti (UT), Melanie Lutenbacher (SC), Ron Seifer (RI), Jane Silovsky (OK), and Julie Spielberger (IL)

- **Cross-site evaluation**
  - Kim Boller and Jess Galin (Mathematica)

- **NDACAN**
  - Elliott Smith

- **ACF**
  - T’Pring Westbrook, Melissa Brodowski, and Lauren Kass
Session Activities

• Describe purpose
• Summarize key features of the guidelines, committee, and review process
• Share PLN member concerns
• Brainstorm solutions to the concerns
• Affirm the guidelines with amendments as needed
• Recruit volunteers/accept nominations for the committee
Why Do We Need Guidelines?

• ACF wants to encourage collaboration and dissemination but discourage surprises

• Large complex projects benefit from specifying expectations and reducing redundancies

• Peer review increases quality and consistency across products
Purpose

• Increase communication about planned and completed local and cross-site dissemination products

• Facilitate joint projects that go beyond what each grantee/local evaluator could do on their own

• Provide feedback that assesses unique contribution, rigor, and clarity and consistency in how the evaluation is described
Features

- Establishes a Publications Committee
  - Provides and maintains guidelines for dissemination pertaining to the Supporting EBHV grants and cross-site evaluation

- Establishes the purview of the guidelines
  - Focus on EBHV publications or presentations that use cross-site, local, or multi-site evaluation data

- Outlines the review process

- Fosters collaboration across grantees and the cross-site team
Committee

- Volunteers represent all members of the PLN
  - 1-year rotation, new members come on every 6 months
- Full Committee includes all volunteer reviewers and is led by an Executive Committee (EC)
- EC responsibilities
  - Oversee process
  - Conduct reviews
  - Address disagreements
- EC includes minimum of 1 grant director, 2 local evaluators, 1 cross-site evaluation representative, and 1 ACF representative
  - Every site takes a turn on the EC over the next 3 years
Review Process

• Initiated by the lead author
  – Simple process
  – Author identifies potential PLN reviewers and submits proposals and actual products

• Three week turn-around
  – Expedited requests possible

• Summary of plans and products shared with PLN through the EBHV listserv
  – Facilitate information sharing and collaboration

• Clearinghouse for information established and maintained
PLN Member Concerns

• XXXX
Solutions, Amendments

• XXXX
Affirmation

- The Guideline Development Committee recommends the group enact the guidelines and try them.
- We assume you affirm agreement to abide by the guidelines (as amended if needed) as part of your existing cooperative agreements.
  - If not, you must let your FPO know within one week.
Volunteers/Nominations

- Executive Committee
- Full Committee
  - All who volunteer to serve as reviewers
  - Reviewers complete a form that identifies the topics and methods that fits with their expertise
Thank you!

- **Mark Innocenti**
  - Mark.Innocenti@usu.edu

- **Christi Bergin**
  - cbergin@mchsi.com

- **Kim Boller**
  - kboller@mathematica-mpr.com