



Supporting Evidence-Based Home Visiting to Prevent Child Maltreatment

Publications Guidelines and Committee

Kim Boller, Christi Bergin, Mark S. Innocenti
June 20, 2011



Guideline Development Committee

- **Local evaluators**
 - Christi Bergin (OH), Laura Denton (TN C&F), Mark Innocenti (UT), Melanie Lutenbacher (SC), Ron Seifer (RI), Jane Silovsky (OK), and Julie Spielberger (IL)
- **Cross-site evaluation**
 - Kim Boller and Jess Galin (Mathematica)
- **NDACAN**
 - Elliott Smith
- **ACF**
 - T'Pring Westbrook, Melissa Brodowski, and Lauren Kass



Session Activities

- **Describe purpose**
- **Summarize key features of the guidelines, committee, and review process**
- **Share PLN member concerns**
- **Brainstorm solutions to the concerns**
- **Affirm the guidelines with amendments as needed**
- **Recruit volunteers/accept nominations for the committee**



Why Do We Need Guidelines?

- **ACF wants to encourage collaboration and dissemination but discourage surprises**
- **Large complex projects benefit from specifying expectations and reducing redundancies**
- **Peer review increases quality and consistency across products**



Purpose

- **Increase communication about planned and completed local and cross-site dissemination products**
- **Facilitate joint projects that go beyond what each grantee/local evaluator could do on their own**
- **Provide feedback that assesses unique contribution, rigor, and clarity and consistency in how the evaluation is described**



Features

- **Establishes a Publications Committee**
 - Provides and maintains guidelines for dissemination pertaining to the Supporting EBHV grants and cross-site evaluation
- **Establishes the purview of the guidelines**
 - Focus on EBHV publications or presentations that use cross-site, local, or multi-site evaluation data
- **Outlines the review process**
- **Fosters collaboration across grantees and the cross-site team**



Committee

- **Volunteers represent all members of the PLN**
 - 1-year rotation, new members come on every 6 months
- **Full Committee includes all volunteer reviewers and is led by an Executive Committee (EC)**
- **EC responsibilities**
 - Oversee process
 - Conduct reviews
 - Address disagreements
- **EC includes minimum of 1 grant director, 2 local evaluators, 1 cross-site evaluation representative, and 1 ACF representative**
 - Every site takes a turn on the EC over the next 3 years



Review Process

- **Initiated by the lead author**
 - Simple process
 - Author identifies potential PLN reviewers and submits proposals and actual products
- **Three week turn-around**
 - Expedited requests possible
- **Summary of plans and products shared with PLN through the EBHV listserv**
 - Facilitate information sharing and collaboration
- **Clearinghouse for information established and maintained**



PLN Member Concerns

- **XXXX**



Solutions, Amendments

- **XXXX**



Affirmation

- **The Guideline Development Committee recommends the group enact the guidelines and try them**
- **We assume you affirm agreement to abide by the guidelines (as amended if needed) as part of your existing cooperative agreements**
 - If not, you must let your FPO know within one week



Volunteers/Nominations

- **Executive Committee**
- **Full Committee**
 - All who volunteer to serve as reviewers
 - Reviewers complete a form that identifies the topics and methods that fits with their expertise



Thank you!

- **Mark Innocenti**
 - Mark.Innocenti@usu.edu
- **Christi Bergin**
 - cbergin@mchsi.com
- **Kim Boller**
 - kboller@mathematica-mpr.com